

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,185	06/26/2001	Brett O. Hall		1737	
7.	590 01/22/20	2			
Brett O Hall			EXAMINER		
4206 Lazy Creek Drive Marietta, GA 30066			GIBSON, ERIC M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661		
		DATE MAILED: 01/22/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		ME			
. 4		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
4.	Office Action Summer	09/892,18	35	HALL, BRETT				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		Eric M Gil		3661				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh et with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply								
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication approach for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the stat eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 June 2001						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from co	nsideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)🛛 /	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmer	-	, , , ,	70					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/892,185 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Certificate of Correction

1. The applicant has submitted copies of a certificate of correction with the file, however there is no certificate of correction recorded with the patent. The examiner requests further clarification regarding the certificate of correction.

Oath/Declaration

- 2. The oath or declaration is defective because:
 - 3. It does not identify the mailing or post office address of each inventor. A mailing or post office address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing or post office address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing or post office address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.
 - 4. The residence and mailing address are incomplete. Residence should be City/State of the applicant and the mailing address should be the P.O./Street, City/State and ZIP Code of the applicant. Listing the full address (P.O./Street, City/State and ZIP Code) of the applicant under residence and "same as residence" for the mailing address is also considered acceptable.

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by La Gambina (GB 2233372A).
- 6. As per claim 23, La Gambina teaches a road traffic control system including a plurality of speed bumps disposed along the roadway (page 2, third para.) and a controller responsive to a traffic command signal to impede the passage of vehicles (page 5).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 251

7. Proposed claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly,

Application/Control Number: 09/892,185 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

8. In the originally filed application, the claims were amended to overcome the teaching of Welford and Ceseri by adding the limitation that the system "determines the likelihood of a collision" as stated by the applicant on page 9 of Paper No. 5. Additionally, the Examiner's reasons for allowance in Paper No. 10c, also indicate that the invention is allowable over the prior art because "the controller actuates vehicle restrictors... in order to prevent a collision". Proposed claim 23 does not at least contain the subject matter considered to be allowable in the original application.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-22 are allowed.
- 10. As per claims 1 and 16, the invention is allowable for the reasons indicated in the original application. Namely, the prior art does not teach or suggest the system and method of the present invention wherein the controller actuates vehicle restrictors to stop or slow a selected one of a plurality of vehicles, based on sensed vehicle conditions and local traffic laws, in order to prevent a collision.
- 11. Claims 2-15 and 17-22 depend from allowable claims 1 and 16 and serve to further define the invention over the prior art.

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Steinhardt (US 5059060A) teaches a traffic control system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 306-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

EMG January 16, 2002 MICHAEL J. ZANELLI PRIMARY EXAMINER